2nd March 19267

- (e) why the principle applied to the karnam's service inams was not made applicable also in this case and the lands placed in the possession of the owners;
- (f) if these inams are considered service inams, whether any duties were stated to be performed by these inamdars;
- '(g) whether any remuneration was paid to the inamdars on the resumption of the lands; and
- (h) whether the Government will be pleased to call for a full report from the District Collector, Vizagapatam, particularly on the question of occupancy rights of these inamdars, and whether they will be pleased to do justice to the claims of the inamdars?
 - A.—(a) The Government have received a memorial from certain persons who claim to have once enjoyed certain lands as inam in the Kasimkota estate.
 - (b) All the masjid grants in Kasimkota estate were resumed about 1897.
 - (c) The grants were held by the Board of Revenue to be of the nature of service yeominhs consisting of a share of produce of specific plots, in which case they were termed 'phoktu'; in others the land a share of the produce of which was assigned was not localized in which case the grants were termed 'ummadt'.
 - (d) & (e) The view held was that they were not inam lands but veomiah grants. The Inam Commissioner in 1863 decided that they were not inam grants and did not settle them.
 - (f) No service was being rendered by any body at the time of resumption and the lands were held by aliences.
 - (g) No remuneration was paid to any body.
 - (h) The case of these grants was fully examined by the Government in 1909 and they decided that there were no grounds for revising the action taken by the Board of Revenue. Persons who thought themselves aggrieved filed a suit unsuccessfully against the Government and the proprietor in 1911. The Government do not propose to reopen the case.

Assignment of land to military pensioners in Palavanchattu village.

- 1501 Q.—Mr. T. ADINARAYANA CHETTIYAR! Will the hon, the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—
- (a) whether Government are proceeding to assign a portion of the wet ayacut land in the 'eri' in No. 117 Palavanchattu village in Vellore taluk of the North Arcot district to military pensioners; and
- (b) it so, whether they have consulted the wishes and the interests of the ryots of the said village when proceeding to make this assignment?
 - A.—The Government have no information of any such proposal. The land is presumably assessed wastes and its assignment to military pensioners is within the powers of the Collector.